

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/697,801	10/31/2003	Do-Young Kim	Q77358	1154		
23373 7590 10/19/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			SAINT CYR, JEAN D			
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2425			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			10/19/2009	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/697,801	KIM, DO-YOUNG		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	JEAN D. SAINT CYR	2425		

	JEAN D. SAINT CYR	2425						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 01 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. \[\text{\text{The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.131; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
The period for reply expires months from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	date of the final rejection	n.						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set torth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailting date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL		The state of the state of the state of						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal and/or								
								(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s). 7. \(\subseteq \subseteq \text{for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)} \) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant faile e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/Brian T. Pendleton/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2425								

Section 11: Applicant argues that the cited references did not disclose whenever a selection channel is selected from among the N channels for which the EPG information is displayed, tuning the selection channel and updating corresponding EPG information.

However, Kondo et al disclose once a channel has been selected, the system receives the change channel request, displays the selected channel video, and updates the program and system information of the newly selected channel prior to displaying the updated EPG. That means by selecting the channel, the system updates the EPG information of the currently selected channel, Finally, Kondo et al disclose once an alternate channel is selected, the system tunes to the broadcast frequency of the selected channel, and the EPG of the system displays only updated program and system information for that channel. That proves again that only the EPG information of the selected channel is updated.

Hence, applicant's amendments are not persuasive. The finality of the last office action is proper, meets all claims limitations and maintained.